



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/471,806 | 12/23/1999 | MARTA M RAMBAUD | | 7978 | |
| 7: | 590 06/01/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN | | | BAYARD, EMMANUEL | | |
| MANELLI DE 2000 M STREF | NISON & SELTER PLL ET. NW | С | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2631 | | |
| SUITE 700 | , | | | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20036-3307 | | DATE MAILED: 06/01/200 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | 7 |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| A1 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | • |
| Advisory Action | 09/471,806 | RAMBAUD ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Emmanuel Bayard | 2631 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence addres | S |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | o avoid abandonment of th : (1) a timely filed amendn peal (with appeal fee); or (| is application. A proper reply nent which places the application | to a ion in |
| PERIOD FOR I | REPLY [check either a) or | b)] | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | • | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). | r than SIX MONTHS from the mail | ling date of the final rejection. | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | tension and the corresponding am ned statutory period for reply origin | ount of the fee. The appropriate extens ally set in the final Office action; or (2) | ion fee under as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)). | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | l because: | | |
| (a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require fur | ther consideration and/or | search (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not | e below); | • | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or | n in better form for appeal | l by materially reducing or sim | plifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand | celing a corresponding nur | nber of finally rejected claims. | , |
| NOTE: | | | |
| $3. \square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej | iection(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | uld be allowable if submitte | ed in a separate, timely filed a | mendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because: | for reconsideration has be <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | een considered but does NOT | place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | pecause it is not directed S | SOLELY to issues which were | newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | ent(s) a)∏ will not be ente would be rejected is provi | red or b) will be entered and ded below or appended. | d an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | / S: | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a | pproved or b) disappro | oved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

EMMANUEL BAYARD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Emmanuel Bayard Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2631 Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/471,806

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive enough. Therfore this appplication stands rejected as stated in the final office action.